

# Using Form-based Tagging

If **Form-based mode is enabled**, the Questions will be available for answer in the right panel (red box); the Question under review has a **light blue background**, and all Questions should either be answered or marked “Not Relevant”.

The screenshot displays the Form-based Tagging interface. On the left is a sidebar with navigation links: Nest Home, Literature Search, Screening, Tagging, Extraction, Study Inspector, and Synthesis. The main area shows a research article titled "5-Fluorouracil, leucovorin, and oxaliplatin (mFOLFOX6) plus sunitinib or bevacizumab as first-line treatment for metastatic colorectal cancer: a randomized Phase IIb study". The right-hand panel, titled "Navigation", contains a "Questions (3/11)" section with a question about study conclusions, an "Annotate or Enter Text" field, and "Not Relevant" and "Apply" buttons. Below this is an "Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria" section with a "Select Tag" dropdown and an "Age" field. At the bottom of the right panel is a "Tagging" section with "Comments (0)" and "History" links. A red arrow points to the "Tagging" section.

All tags can still be added to the study using Standard Tagging by expanding the Tagging panel (red arrow above).

## Answering Questions

By adding Answers, you are applying the underlying tag, with the tag content serving as the evidence that the correct Answer(s) have been added. The method of Answering depends on the type of Question, but for all Question types, the tags applied will populate the [Qualitative Synthesis](#) in the same manner as Standard Tagging.

**Note:** Tag Recommendations are not available for Form-based Tagging mode.

## Question Type-specific Answers

For each Question in the list, complete the following actions based on the type of Question:

- **Single Select:** Apply one child tag that answers the pre-configured questions. To do so, select one of the tags from the drop-down, and then highlight or select an Excerpt.

Nest Home

Dashboard

Settings

Literature Search

Other Sources

Duplicate Review

Search Exploration

Query Builder

Screening

4 / 4

Configure Screening

Tagging

0 / 4

Configure Tagging

Extraction

0 / 4

Configure Extraction

Study Inspector

Synthesis

Manuscript Editor

Abstract Editor

Export

Abstract

Full Text

Supplements

Related Reports

11

0

0

10

0

0

PMC

cancer: a randomized Phase IIb study

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal:  
Cancer Management and Research  
15 June 2015  
Number of times this article has been viewed

J Randolph Hecht<sup>1</sup>

Edith P Mitchell<sup>2</sup>

Takayuki Yoshino<sup>3</sup>

Manfred Weislaup<sup>4</sup>

Xun Lin<sup>5</sup>

Edna Chow Maneval<sup>6</sup>

Jolanda Paolini<sup>7</sup>

Maria Jose Lechuga<sup>7</sup>

Albrecht Kretschmar<sup>8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Santa Monica, CA,  
<sup>2</sup>Kimmel Cancer Center of Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA, USA; <sup>3</sup>National Cancer Center Hospital East, Chiba, Japan;  
<sup>4</sup>Onkologische Praxis Klausmann/Weislaup, Aschaffenburg, Germany;  
<sup>5</sup>Pfizer Oncology, La Jolla, <sup>6</sup>Seragon Pharmaceuticals, San Diego, CA, USA; <sup>7</sup>Pfizer Oncology, Milan, Italy;  
<sup>8</sup>Klinikum St Georg, Leipzig, Germany

**Background:** Sunitinib is an oral inhibitor of tyrosine kinase receptors implicated in tumor proliferation, angiogenesis, and metastasis. In this randomized, multicenter, open-label Phase IIb study, sunitinib plus mFOLFOX6 (oxaliplatin plus leucovorin plus 5-fluorouracil) was compared with bevacizumab plus mFOLFOX6 as first-line therapy in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer.

**Methods:** Patients were stratified by performance status, baseline lactate dehydrogenase level, and prior adjuvant treatment, and randomized 1:1 to receive sunitinib 37.5 mg/day for 4 weeks on and 2 weeks off plus mFOLFOX6 every 2 weeks or bevacizumab 5 mg/kg every 2 weeks plus mFOLFOX6 every 2 weeks. The primary endpoint was progression-free survival. Secondary endpoints included objective response rate, overall survival, safety, and quality of life.

**Results:** Enrollment was closed early following accrual of 191 patients, based on an interim analysis showing an inferior trend in the primary progression-free survival efficacy endpoint for sunitinib. Ninety-six patients were randomized to sunitinib plus mFOLFOX6 and 95 to bevacizumab plus mFOLFOX6. Median progression-free survival was 9.3 months and 15.4 months, respectively, but the objective response rate was similar between the study arms. Median overall survival was 23.7 months and 34.1 months, respectively. Dose reductions and interruptions were more common with sunitinib. Hematologic toxicity was more common in the sunitinib arm.

**Conclusion:** While the results of the sunitinib arm are comparable with those of previously reported FOLFOX combinations, the sunitinib-based combination was associated with more toxicity than that observed with bevacizumab and mFOLFOX6. The bevacizumab arm had an unexpectedly good outcome, and was much better than that seen in the Phase III trials.

Navigation

Back

Skip

Complete

Questions (4/11)

Study Type: What was the study type?

RCT

Prospective Observational

Enter Text

Not Relevant

Relevant

Apply

Study Objective: What was the study objective?

[Selection]

Tagging

Comments (0)

History

- **Multi-Select:** Any of the child tags can be an answer, so you can apply as many tags from the drop-down as are applicable to the study. When all relevant child tags are added, select “Next” to mark the Question complete.

Nest Home

Dashboard

Settings

Literature Search

Other Sources

Duplicate Review

Search Exploration

Query Builder

Screening

4 / 4

Configure Screening

Tagging

0 / 4

Configure Tagging

Extraction

0 / 4

Configure Extraction

Study Inspector

Synthesis

Manuscript Editor

Abstract Editor

Export

Abstract

Full Text

Supplements

Related Reports

0/0

PMC

Patients eligible for inclusion were at least 18 years of age, and had: histologically or cytologically confirmed adenocarcinoma of the colon or rectum with documented metastatic disease; Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of 0 or 1; evidence of measurable disease according to Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors;<sup>9</sup> and resolution of all acute toxic effects of prior therapy (except for alopecia) or surgical procedure to grade  $\leq$  1. Prior adjuvant therapy was permitted if more than 6 months had elapsed from completion of therapy and diagnosis of metastatic disease. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the International Conference on Harmonization guidelines on Good Clinical Practice, and applicable local regulatory requirements and laws. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

**Study objectives**  
The primary objective was to compare the efficacy of sunitinib and mFOLFOX6 with bevacizumab and mFOLFOX6 in terms of progression-free survival. Secondary objectives included measures of objective response rate, overall survival, safety, and tolerability, including patient-reported outcomes.

**Study assessments**  
Tumor assessments were performed every 8 weeks. Efficacy evaluation was based on investigator's assessment using Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors 1.0 criteria. Adverse events were graded according to the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 3.0, and patient-reported outcomes on the Functional Assessment of Cancer Treatment-Colorectal (FACT-C)<sup>10</sup> and

**Study design**  
Patients were randomized 1:1 to receive mFOLFOX6 (oxaliplatin 85 mg/m<sup>2</sup> and leucovorin 400 mg/m<sup>2</sup>

Navigation

Back

Skip

Complete

Questions (3/11)

Not Relevant

Apply

Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria: What were the inclusion and exclusion criteria?

Select Tag

Pregnancy

Age

Enter Text

Next

Answered

Apply

Study Location: What was the study location?

- **Single Apply:** The tag under review is either applied to the study (select “Apply”) or marked irrelevant. No child tags are added!

Nest Home

Dashboard

Settings

Literature Search

Other Sources

Duplicate Review

Search Exploration

Query Builder

Screening

4 / 4

Configure Screening

Tagging

0 / 4

Configure Tagging

Extraction

0 / 4

Configure Extraction

Study Inspector

Synthesis

Manuscript Editor

Abstract Editor

Export

Abstract

Full Text

Supplements

Related Reports

11

0

0

10

0

0

PMC

cancer: a randomized Phase IIb study

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal:  
Cancer Management and Research  
15 June 2015  
Number of times this article has been viewed

J Randolph Hecht<sup>1</sup>

Edith P Mitchell<sup>2</sup>

Takayuki Yoshino<sup>3</sup>

Manfred Weislaup<sup>4</sup>

Xun Lin<sup>5</sup>

Edna Chow Maneval<sup>6</sup>

Jolanda Paolini<sup>7</sup>

Maria Jose Lechuga<sup>7</sup>

Albrecht Kretschmar<sup>8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Santa Monica, CA,  
<sup>2</sup>Kimmel Cancer Center of Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA, USA; <sup>3</sup>National Cancer Center Hospital East, Chiba, Japan;  
<sup>4</sup>Onkologische Praxis Klausmann/Weislaup, Aschaffenburg, Germany;  
<sup>5</sup>Pfizer Oncology, La Jolla, <sup>6</sup>Seragon Pharmaceuticals, San Diego, CA, USA; <sup>7</sup>Pfizer Oncology, Milan, Italy;  
<sup>8</sup>Klinikum St Georg, Leipzig, Germany

**Background:** Sunitinib is an oral inhibitor of tyrosine kinase receptors implicated in tumor proliferation, angiogenesis, and metastasis. In this randomized, multicenter, open-label Phase IIb study, sunitinib plus mFOLFOX6 (oxaliplatin plus leucovorin plus 5-fluorouracil) was compared with bevacizumab plus mFOLFOX6 as first-line therapy in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer.

**Methods:** Patients were stratified by performance status, baseline lactate dehydrogenase level, and prior adjuvant treatment, and randomized 1:1 to receive sunitinib 37.5 mg/day for 4 weeks on and 2 weeks off plus mFOLFOX6 every 2 weeks or bevacizumab 5 mg/kg every 2 weeks plus mFOLFOX6 every 2 weeks. The primary endpoint was progression-free survival. Secondary endpoints included objective response rate, overall survival, safety, and quality of life.

**Results:** Enrollment was closed early following accrual of 191 patients, based on an interim analysis showing an inferior trend in the primary progression-free survival efficacy endpoint for sunitinib. Ninety-six patients were randomized to sunitinib plus mFOLFOX6 and 95 to bevacizumab plus mFOLFOX6. Median progression-free survival was 9.3 months and 15.4 months, respectively, but the objective response rate was similar between the study arms. Median overall survival was 23.7 months and 34.1 months, respectively. Dose reductions and interruptions were more common with sunitinib. Hematologic toxicity was more common in the sunitinib arm.

**Conclusion:** While the results of the sunitinib arm are comparable with those of previously reported FOLFOX combinations, the sunitinib-based combination was associated with more toxicity than that observed with bevacizumab and mFOLFOX6. The bevacizumab arm had an unexpectedly good outcome, and was much better than that seen in the Phase III trials.

Navigation

Back

Skip

Complete

Questions (4/11)

Study Objective: What was the study objective?

[Selection]

Not Relevant

Answered

Update

Study Conclusion: What were the study conclusions?

the sunitinib-based combination was associated with more toxicity than that observed with bevacizumab and mFOLFOX6.

Not Relevant

Answered

Update

Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria: What were the inclusion and exclusion criteria?

Tagging

Comments (0)

History

https://wiki.nested-knowledge.com/

Printed on 2024/06/02 08:25

Whenever a Question has no relevant answers, select “Not Relevant” to move to the next Question.

## What Answering a Question does

When a Question is finished (Applied or, for Multi-Select, when you select “Next”), or when the Question is marked Not Relevant, the count of completed Questions at the top of the right panel will update.

When all Questions are finished, you can either add tags using the Standard method (by opening the Extraction panel), or you can move to the next study by selecting “Complete” in the upper right-hand corner.

## Question Search and Answer Status

You can search for a specific tag and its associated question anytime using the search bar and dropdown. Clicking on your desired option will take you directly to that question.

The image displays two screenshots of the 'Questions (0/10)' interface. The left screenshot shows the search bar highlighted with a red box. The right screenshot shows the dropdown menu for the search bar, also highlighted with a red box, displaying a list of tags: Publication Type, Number of patients using device, Objective, Conclusion, Treatment details, Procedural success rate and statement, Level of Evidence, Report metric, Lesion location, and Devices used.

Once you begin answering questions, the status of these answers will be displayed next to tags in this same dropdown.

Answer Status Key:

- No Circle = Question is unanswered

- Hollow Circle = Question assigned as “Not Relevant”
- Filled Circle = Question is answered with tag applied

In the below example, Publication Type was deemed not relevant, Number of patients using device was answered with tag applied, Objective (as well as the rest of the questions) were unanswered.

Questions (2/10)

Publication Type

Number of patients using device

Objective

Conclusion

Treatment details

Procedural success rate and statement

Level of Evidence

Report metric

Lesion location

Devices used

Conclusion: What are the study conclusions?

Annotate or Enter Text

Not Relevant

Apply

Treatment details: What are the treatment details?

Schedule	Drug Type	Administration

From:

<https://wiki.nested-knowledge.com/> - **Nested Knowledge**

Permanent link:

<https://wiki.nested-knowledge.com/doku.php?id=wiki:autolit:tagging:formanswer&rev=1688769732>

Last update: **2023/07/07 22:42**