

# Your Keywords

In addition to the RoboPICO highlighting, you can custom-add Your Keywords to have them underlined in the Abstract view.

User Keywords can be added or edited in **two places**; the list of User Keywords can be populated and edited from the Configure Screening page, and then additionally populated and edited from the Abstract View for any study within a nest.

## Steps for Configuring Keyword Underlining

### On the Configure Screening page

#### 1. Go to the Configure Screening page

### On the Abstract View

#### 1. Go to the Abstract View

This can be accomplished by going to Screening, or from Study Inspector, by selecting “Abstract” in the upper left of the study view.

#### 2. Click on the pencil next to “Your Keywords”

The screenshot shows the 'Abstract' view of a study. At the top, there are tabs for 'Abstract', 'Full Text', and 'Supplements'. Below the tabs, the study title is 'The association of surgical approach and bearing size and type with dislocation in total hip arthroplasty for acute hip fracture.' The abstract text is displayed, with several terms highlighted in red: 'risk of dislocation', 'cumulative incidence of events', 'adjusted risk of dislocation', 'risk of revision', 'DMC', and 'dislocation'. Below the abstract, there is a section for 'Your Keywords' with a pencil icon next to it. The 'Your Keywords' section is currently empty, and there is a dropdown menu for 'Keywords' and a button for 'Bibliographic fields'.

#### 3. Type in desired keyword and hit “Add.”



AbstractFull TextSupplements

PubMed

Rogmark, 2022

The association of surgical approach and bearing size and type with dislocation in total hip arthroplasty for acute hip fracture.

AIMS Patients with femoral neck fractures (FNFs) treated with total hip arthroplasty (THA) have an almost ten-fold increased risk of dislocation compared to patients undergoing elective THA. The surgical approach influences the risk of dislocation. To date, the influence of differing head sizes and dual-mobility components (DMCs) on the risk of dislocation has not been well studied. METHODS In an observational cohort study on 8,031 FNF patients with THA between January 2005 and December 2014, Swedish Arthroplasty Register data were linked with the National Patient Register, recording the total dislocation rates at one year and revision rates at three years after surgery. The cumulative incidence of events was estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method. Cox multivariable regression models were fitted to calculate adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the risk of dislocation, revision, or mortality, stratified by surgical approach. RESULTS The cumulative dislocation rate at one year was 8.3% (95% CI 7.3 to 9.3) for patients operated on using the posterior approach and 2.7% (95% CI 2.2 to 3.2) when using the direct lateral approach. In the posterior approach group, use of DMC was associated with reduced adjusted risk of dislocation compared to 32 mm heads (HR 0.21 (95% CI 0.07 to 0.68); p = 0.009). This risk was increased with head sizes < 32 mm (HR 1.47 (95% CI 1.10 to 1.98); p = 0.010). Neither DMC nor different head sizes influenced the risk of revision following the posterior approach. Neither articulation was associated with a statistically significantly reduced adjusted risk of dislocation in patients where the direct lateral approach was performed, although this risk was estimated to be HR 0.14 (95% CI 0.02 to 1.02; p = 0.053) after the use of DMC. DMC inserted through a direct lateral approach was associated with a reduced risk of revision for any reason versus THA with 32 mm heads (HR 0.36 (95% CI 0.13 to 0.99); p = 0.047). CONCLUSION When using a posterior approach for THA in FNF patients, DMC reduces the risk of dislocation, while a non-significant risk reduction is seen for DMC after use of the direct lateral approach. The direct lateral approach is protective against dislocation and is also associated with a lower rate of revision at three years, compared to the posterior approach. Cite this article: Bone Joint J 2022;104-B(7):844-851.

Population/ProblemInterventionOutcomeYour Keywords

Keywords

lateral

Add

Keywords

revision

primary

posterior

dual mobility cup

Bibliographic fields

Edit

4. Your keyword(s) will now be underlined!

AbstractFull TextSupplements

PubMed

Rogmark, 2022

The association of surgical approach and bearing size and type with dislocation in total hip arthroplasty for acute hip fracture.

AIMS Patients with femoral neck fractures (FNFs) treated with total hip arthroplasty (THA) have an almost ten-fold increased risk of dislocation compared to patients undergoing elective THA. The surgical approach influences the risk of dislocation. To date, the influence of differing head sizes and dual-mobility components (DMCs) on the risk of dislocation has not been well studied. METHODS In an observational cohort study on 8,031 FNF patients with THA between January 2005 and December 2014, Swedish Arthroplasty Register data were linked with the National Patient Register, recording the total dislocation rates at one year and revision rates at three years after surgery. The cumulative incidence of events was estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method. Cox multivariable regression models were fitted to calculate adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the risk of dislocation, revision, or mortality, stratified by surgical approach. RESULTS The cumulative dislocation rate at one year was 8.3% (95% CI 7.3 to 9.3) for patients operated on using the posterior approach and 2.7% (95% CI 2.2 to 3.2) when using the direct lateral approach. In the posterior approach group, use of DMC was associated with reduced adjusted risk of dislocation compared to 32 mm heads (HR 0.21 (95% CI 0.07 to 0.68); p = 0.009). This risk was increased with head sizes < 32 mm (HR 1.47 (95% CI 1.10 to 1.98); p = 0.010). Neither DMC nor different head sizes influenced the risk of revision following the posterior approach. Neither articulation was associated with a statistically significantly reduced adjusted risk of dislocation in patients where the direct lateral approach was performed, although this risk was estimated to be HR 0.14 (95% CI 0.02 to 1.02; p = 0.053) after the use of DMC. DMC inserted through a direct lateral approach was associated with a reduced risk of revision for any reason versus THA with 32 mm heads (HR 0.36 (95% CI 0.13 to 0.99); p = 0.047). CONCLUSION When using a posterior approach for THA in FNF patients, DMC reduces the risk of dislocation, while a non-significant risk reduction is seen for DMC after use of the direct lateral approach. The direct lateral approach is protective against dislocation and is also associated with a lower rate of revision at three years, compared to the posterior approach. Cite this article: Bone Joint J 2022;104-B(7):844-851.

Population/ProblemInterventionOutcomeYour Keywords

Keywords

Bibliographic fields

Edit

5. If you wish to remove an underlined keyword, hover over the keyword, and click on the "X" next to the word



AbstractFull TextSupplements

PubMed

Rogmark, 2022

**The association of surgical approach and bearing size and type with dislocation in total hip arthroplasty for acute hip fracture.**

AIMS Patients with femoral neck fractures (FNFs) treated with total hip arthroplasty (THA) have an almost ten-fold increased risk of dislocation compared to patients undergoing elective THA. The surgical approach influences the risk of dislocation. To date, the influence of differing head sizes and dual-mobility components (DMCs) on the risk of dislocation has not been well studied. METHODS In an observational cohort study on 8,031 FNF patients with THA between January 2005 and December 2014, Swedish Arthroplasty Register data were linked with the National Patient Register, recording the total dislocation rates at one year and revision rates at three years after surgery. The cumulative incidence of events was estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method. Cox multivariable regression models were fitted to calculate adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the risk of dislocation, revision, or mortality, stratified by surgical approach. RESULTS The cumulative dislocation rate at one year was 8.3% (95% CI 7.3 to 9.3) for patients operated on using the posterior approach and 2.7% (95% CI 2.2 to 3.2) when using the direct lateral approach. In the posterior approach group, use of DMC was associated with reduced adjusted risk of dislocation compared to 32 mm heads (HR 0.21 (95% CI 0.07 to 0.68); p = 0.009). This risk was increased with head sizes < 32 mm (HR 1.47 (95% CI 1.10 to 1.98); p = 0.010). Neither DMC nor different head sizes influenced the risk of revision following the posterior approach. Neither articulation was associated with a statistically significantly reduced adjusted risk of dislocation in patients where the direct lateral approach was performed, although this risk was estimated to be HR 0.14 (95% CI 0.02 to 1.02; p = 0.053) after the use of DMC. DMC inserted through a direct lateral approach was associated with a reduced risk of revision for any reason versus THA with 32 mm heads (HR 0.36 (95% CI 0.13 to 0.99); p = 0.047). CONCLUSION When using a posterior approach for THA in FNF patients, DMC reduces the risk of dislocation, while a non-significant risk reduction is seen for DMC after use of the direct lateral approach. The direct lateral approach is protective against dislocation and is also associated with a lower rate of revision at three years, compared to the posterior approach. Cite this article: Bone Joint J 2022;104-B(7):844-851.

Population/Problem

Intervention

Outcome

Your Keywords

Keywords

Enter KeywordAdd

Keywords

lateral

revision

primary

posterior

Bibliographic fields

Edit

From:  
<https://wiki.nested-knowledge.com/> - **Nested Knowledge**

Permanent link:  
<https://wiki.nested-knowledge.com/doku.php?id=wiki:autolit:screening:keywords&rev=1657293314>

Last update: **2022/07/08 15:15**