2024/05/14 00:24 1/2 Quality Control (QC)

Quality Control (QC)

Overview

The first step in quality control is to understand the research question and proposal if available. Once the project goals are clear, identify the aim of the QC by asking the following:

• Is the main goal of this QC to finalize the data before statistical analysis?

In the case that the project is unclear and the research design needs to be refined, one of the goals of QCing will be to refine the research question. Evaluate studies for common themes while reviewing the data extraction with the following guiding questions:

- Does the research design make sense and is the aim of the research clear?
- Are the primary outcomes (or the data elements that are of highest interest) clear and reported in a standard, measurable way?
- Are there common outcomes or interventions mentioned in the included studies that are missing?

Screening

When reviewing the extraction for each study, assess if the study has any of the following detriments to inclusion:

- Low data density or quality (does not have a significant amount of data extraction or does not incude the main outcomes of interest)
- Subpopulation that could skew results in a certain direction (ex: children, vulnerable population, elderly)
- The cohort is not homogenous (ex: all are considered COVID-19 patients but some patients are suspected and some are PCR-confirmed positive)
- Study type (consider if lower level evidence such as case reports or case series should be included for the project)
- Does not report primary outcome of interest (ex: reports 30-day mortality when the primary outcome of interest is 90-day mortality)

In the case that the study should not be included, note the reason that exclusion is recommended for secondary review.

When performing an SR/MA, you should also be sure that it does not have an excessively small or excessively large number of included studies. Always seek input from a statistician on this matter, as an "appropriate size" for an SR/MA is highly variable and depends on the specific study design and client requests. Generally speaking, you might expect a meta-analysis with inferential statistics to include anywhere from 4 to 50 studies.

N KINS 200 (The control of the cont

Extraction

To be done in addition to steps outlined above.

Examine the data elements for extraction. There may be inconsistencies that occurred as a result of occurred in study reporting that can only be uncovered after reviewing the extraction of several studies. When examining the extraction for each study, look out for the following common data element errors:

- Clarify any data elements that are vague (ex: how are we defining clinical improvement?) and QC after review Screening section and review before Extraction section.

 - Studies that have a limited amount of data elements extracted (low data density). Comment Look at the sunburst diagram in qualitative synthesis and evaluate gap teeth. Gap teeth occur directly on the study to be evaluated for secondary review. When a study has been tagged at the higher level instead of the lower, most specific level. If If you notice that a data element is being reported more often as a mean instead of a median, studies are tagged with Study Design instead of the most specific tag, this may result in gap this may need to be changed. The study of the most specific tag, this may result in gap theth. Gap teeth can also result when there is a small amount of studies tagged. Check that outcomes are reported on consistent scales with the same units fex: if pain is for tagging only nests, evaluate each study for tagging similar to the process of checking the reported on a VAS vs NRS scale, then there is a need to create two separate data elements). Check units of data elements to ensure they are consistently reported the same way Look at quantitative synthesis and note data elements that are "empty" or very small in the Make sure that the tagged intervention groups match the extracted intervention groups.

Go through each study and check that each element, intervention, and follow-up period are correctly extracted, paying special attention to the abstract, tables, methods and results.

Extraction

Common extraction errors to correct:

- Look at quantitative synthesis and note data elements that are "empty" or very small in the
- · Elem down hat there are described the analysis with the transfer of the tran
- · proposing elipsing they that data bile electrically
- Continuous data elements are being reported using the incorrect statistical measure (standard Note anyotheughtshe same is sylvandary of inthe ornments section of the study. If you have done extensive restrictions are been also as the extended with the first that action and both and who wished it the Justify sand of the same as 90-day mortality). Clarify if unsure of what the time point of interest is. Look out for inconsistencies across studies and note if there are timepoints that are commonly reported but are missed.

Final Steps

Statistical Errors and Clarifications
• Let the team or project lead know that QC is complete and include notes and recommendations in the email.

QC reduites beat from menter transming of the pertisetalline as we have substituted by the tenth of the pertiset of the pertis reading scriptifications prenew standards what have been sationes representations should not be included in the QC report, only actions that were taken. Include the date that QC was completed.

From:

https://wiki.nested-knowledge.com/ - Nested Knowledge

Permanent link:

https://wiki.nested-knowledge.com/doku.php?id=wiki:guide:qc&rev=1650315598

Last update: 2022/04/18 20:59